

**SUMMARY REPORT OF INVESTIGATION<sup>1</sup>****I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Date of Incident:	June 25, 2012
Time of Incident:	12:00 pm
Location of Incident:	[REDACTED]
Date of COPA Notification:	June 25, 2012
Time of COPA Notification:	3:48 pm

On June 25, 2012, Chicago Police Officers were on routine patrol in a violence reduction zone near [REDACTED]. Officers observed a group of young men congregating in the violence reduction zone and exited their vehicles to speak with the group. The group dispersed, and the men ran away from the officers. Officers pursued [REDACTED] ("[REDACTED]"), one of the individuals who ran away, through buildings and eventually into [REDACTED] apartment at [REDACTED] (hereinafter [REDACTED] or [REDACTED]), [REDACTED] (hereinafter [REDACTED]). Three individuals, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED], were inside the apartment prior to [REDACTED] entering. Police officers pursued [REDACTED] and forced open the door to the apartment. Officers entered the apartment and arrested [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] came to the apartment soon after and later called for a supervisor to initiate a complaint against the police officers who entered her apartment.

**II. INVOLVED PARTIES**

Involved Officer #1:	[REDACTED], Star # [REDACTED], Employee ID # [REDACTED], Date of Appointment: [REDACTED]/2002, Police Officer as Detective, Unit [REDACTED] – Detective Area - Central, DOB: [REDACTED]/1979, Male, Black
Involved Officer #2:	[REDACTED] Star # [REDACTED], Employee ID # [REDACTED], Date of Appointment: [REDACTED]/2004, Police Officer / Field Training Officer, Unit [REDACTED] – District [REDACTED], DOB: [REDACTED]/1980, Male, Hispanic
Involved Officer #3:	[REDACTED], Star # [REDACTED], Employee ID # [REDACTED], Date of Appointment: [REDACTED]/2007, Police Officer, Unit [REDACTED] – Deployment Operations Center, DOB: [REDACTED]/1976, Male, Hispanic

<sup>1</sup> On September 15, 2017, the Civilian Office of Police Accountability (COPA) replaced the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) as the civilian oversight agency of the Chicago Police Department. Therefore, this investigation, which began under IPRA, was transferred to COPA on September 15, 2017, and the recommendation(s) set forth herein are the recommendation(s) of COPA.

Involved Officer #4:	[REDACTED], Star # [REDACTED], Employee ID # [REDACTED], Date of Appointment: [REDACTED] 2007, Police Officer, Unit [REDACTED] - [REDACTED] [REDACTED], DOB: [REDACTED]/1983, Male, White
Involved Officer #5 <sup>2</sup> :	[REDACTED], Star # [REDACTED], Employee ID # [REDACTED], Police Officer, Unit [REDACTED] - District [REDACTED] DOB [REDACTED]/1958, Male
Involved Officer #6 <sup>3</sup> :	[REDACTED], Star # [REDACTED], Employee ID # [REDACTED], Date of Appointment: [REDACTED] 1989, Police Officer, Unit [REDACTED] - District [REDACTED] DOB: [REDACTED] 1959, Male
Involved Officer #7:	[REDACTED], Star # [REDACTED], Employee ID # [REDACTED], Date of Appointment: [REDACTED] 1990, Police Officer, Unit [REDACTED] - District [REDACTED] DOB: [REDACTED] 1966, Male, Black
Involved Officer #8:	[REDACTED], Star # [REDACTED], Employee ID # [REDACTED], Date of Appointment: [REDACTED] 1996, Sergeant of Police, Unit [REDACTED] - [REDACTED] [REDACTED], DOB: [REDACTED]/1971, Male, White
Involved Individual #1:	[REDACTED] DOB: [REDACTED]/1973, Female, Black
Involved Individual #2:	[REDACTED] DOB: [REDACTED]/1991, Female, Black
Involved Individual #3:	[REDACTED] DOB: [REDACTED]/1991, Female, Black
Involved Individual #4:	[REDACTED] DOB: [REDACTED] 1996, Male, Black
Involved Individual #5:	[REDACTED] DOB: [REDACTED] 1969, Male, Black

### III. ALLEGATIONS

Officer	Allegation	Finding
[REDACTED]	1. It is alleged that on June 25, 2012, at approximately 12:00 PM at [REDACTED] Apt. No. [REDACTED] [REDACTED], Officer [REDACTED] forced open the front door to [REDACTED] apartment in [REDACTED]	Not Sustained

<sup>2</sup> Police Officer [REDACTED] retired from the Chicago Police Department and is no longer an active member of the Department.

<sup>3</sup> Police Officer [REDACTED] retired from the Chicago Police Department and is no longer an active member of the Department.

	violation of Rule 1, Rule 2, and Rule 8 of the Chicago Police Department Rules of Conduct.	
	<p>2. It is alleged that on June 25, 2012, at approximately 12:00 PM at [REDACTED] Apt. No. [REDACTED] Officer [REDACTED] entered [REDACTED] apartment without a warrant and/or permission in violation of Rule 1, Rule 2, and Rule 8 of the Chicago Police Department Rules of Conduct. of the Chicago Police Department Rules of Conduct.</p>	Not Sustained
	<p>3. It is alleged that on June 25, 2012, at approximately 12:00 PM at [REDACTED] Apt. No. [REDACTED] Officer [REDACTED] pointed a gun at [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] in violation of Rule 1, Rule 2, Rule 8, Rule 9, and Rule 38 of the Chicago Police Department Rules of Conduct.</p>	Not Sustained
	<p>4. It is alleged that on June 25, 2012, at approximately 12:00 PM at [REDACTED] Apt. No. [REDACTED] Officer [REDACTED] stated, "Fuck this" to [REDACTED] in violation of Rule 1, Rule 2, Rule 8, and Rule 9 of the Chicago Police Department Rules of Conduct.</p>	Not Sustained
	<p>5. It is alleged that on June 25, 2012, at approximately 12:00 PM at [REDACTED] Apt. No. [REDACTED] Officer [REDACTED] stated "Where the fuck is the gun? You all know the shit and Y'all gonna sit here and fucking lie" to [REDACTED] in violation of Rule 1, Rule 2, Rule 8, and Rule 9 of the Chicago Police Department Rules of Conduct.</p>	Not Sustained
	<p>6. It is alleged that on June 25, 2012, at approximately 12:00 PM at [REDACTED] Apt. No. [REDACTED] Officer [REDACTED] threatened [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] with other government action when he told them they were on Section 8 and he was going to get their asses put out on the street and get them</p>	Not Sustained

	<p>cut off Public Aid in violation of Rule 1, Rule 2, Rule 8, and Rule 9 of the Chicago Police Department Rules of Conduct.</p>	
	<p>7. It is alleged that on 25 June 2012 at approximately 12:00 PM at [REDACTED] Apt. No. [REDACTED] Officer [REDACTED] wrote/generated a false Arrest report and Original Case Incident Report under RD [REDACTED] when he falsely documented the circumstances surrounding the arrest of [REDACTED] in that he stated, R/O made it to the [REDACTED] and observed offender ([REDACTED]) [REDACTED] enter the residence (apartment # [REDACTED]) in violation of Rule 2 and Rule 14 of the Chicago Police Department Rules of Conduct.</p>	Not Sustained
	<p>8. It is alleged that on 05 September 2013 at approximately 12:11 PM at 1615 W. Chicago Ave., Chicago, IL, Officer [REDACTED] made false statements during his interview with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) in relation to the circumstances surrounding [REDACTED] arrest on 25 June 2012 in that he stated he saw [REDACTED] enter the [REDACTED] floor apartment, # [REDACTED] in violation of Rule 2 and Rule 14 of the Chicago Police Department Rules of Conduct.</p>	Not Sustained
	<p>9. It is alleged that on 05 September 2013 at approximately 12:11 PM at 1615 W. Chicago Ave., Chicago, IL, Officer [REDACTED] made false statements during his interview with the IPRA in relation to the circumstances surrounding [REDACTED] arrest on 25 June 2012 in that he stated words to the effect that he saw blood all over the door and it was smeared all over the place and it's all over the middle side of the door, hand marks and everything in violation of Rule 2 and Rule 14 of the Chicago Police Department Rules of Conduct.</p>	Not Sustained
	<p>10. It is alleged that on 05 September 2013 at approximately 12:11 PM at 1615 W. Chicago Ave., Chicago, IL, Officer [REDACTED] made false statements during his interview with the</p>	Not Sustained

	<p>IPRA in relation to the circumstances surrounding [REDACTED] arrest on 25 June 2012 in that he stated he and other officers did not conduct a search of the building for [REDACTED] in violation of Rule 2 and Rule 14 of the Chicago Police Department Rules of Conduct.</p>	
[REDACTED]	<p>1. It is alleged that on June 25, 2012, at approximately 12:00 PM at [REDACTED] Apt. No. [REDACTED] Officer [REDACTED] forced open the front door to [REDACTED] apartment in violation of Rule 1, Rule 2, and Rule 8 of the Chicago Police Department Rules of Conduct.</p> <p>2. It is alleged that on June 25, 2012, at approximately 12:00 PM at [REDACTED] Apt. No. [REDACTED] Officer [REDACTED] entered [REDACTED] apartment without a warrant and/or permission in violation of Rule 1, Rule 2, and Rule 8 of the Chicago Police Department Rules of Conduct.</p>	Not Sustained
	<p>3. It is alleged that on June 25, 2012, at approximately 12:00 PM at [REDACTED] Apt. No. [REDACTED] Officer [REDACTED] pointed a gun at [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] in violation of Rule 1, Rule 2, Rule 8, Rule 9, and Rule 38 of the Chicago Police Department Rules of Conduct.</p>	Not Sustained
	<p>4. It is alleged that on 06 September 2013 at approximately 2:11 PM at 1615 W. Chicago Ave., Chicago, IL, Officer [REDACTED] made false statements during his interview with IPRA in relation to the circumstances surrounding [REDACTED] arrest on 25 June 2012 in that he stated he saw [REDACTED] open the door to the [REDACTED] apartment, # [REDACTED] and enter the [REDACTED] apartment, # [REDACTED] in violation of Rule 2 and Rule 14 of the Chicago Police Department Rules of Conduct.</p>	Not Sustained

	5. It is alleged that on 06 September 2013 at approximately 2:11 PM at 1615 W. Chicago Ave., Chicago, IL, Officer [REDACTED] made false statements during his interview with IPRA in relation to the circumstances surrounding [REDACTED] arrest on 25 June 2012 in that he stated [REDACTED] slammed the # [REDACTED] apartment door on you and other officers after [REDACTED] entered the apartment in violation of Rule 2 and Rule 14 of the Chicago Police Department Rules of Conduct.	Not Sustained
--	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------

#### IV. APPLICABLE RULES AND LAWS

##### Rules

1. **Rule 1** - Violation of any law or ordinance.
2. **Rule 2** - Any action or conduct which impedes the Department's efforts to achieve its policy and goals or brings discredit upon the Department.
3. **Rule 8** - Disrespect to or maltreatment of any person, while on or off duty.
4. **Rule 9** - Engaging in any unjustified verbal or physical altercation with any person, while on or off duty.
5. **Rule 14** - Making a false report, written or oral.
6. **Rule 38** - Unlawful or unnecessary use or display of a weapon.

##### General Orders

1. **GO 01-01 Mission Statement and Core Values**
2. **GO 04-01 Preliminary Investigations**

#### V. INVESTIGATION<sup>4</sup>

##### A. Interviews

[REDACTED] ("[REDACTED]") gave a digitally recorded interview to IPRA on June 29, 2012. In her statement, [REDACTED] stated she was present at [REDACTED] Apt. [REDACTED] on June 25,

<sup>4</sup> COPA conducted a thorough and complete investigation. The following is a summary of the material evidence gathered and relied upon in our analysis.

2012. [REDACTED] also stated the complainant, [REDACTED] ("[REDACTED]"), is her godmother. [REDACTED] stated that around 11:30 or 11:35 in the morning, she was with [REDACTED] ("[REDACTED]"), her god sister, and [REDACTED] ("[REDACTED]"), [REDACTED] cousin, inside [REDACTED] apartment. [REDACTED] stated she and [REDACTED] were asleep in a bedroom, and [REDACTED] was in the backroom of the apartment watching television, when they heard someone knocking at the door. [REDACTED] stated they did not respond, and the knocking at the door became heavier, and someone started knocking hard at the back door. [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] walked out to see who was at the door but could not clearly see who was there. [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] then called her mother and told her police officers were knocking at the door. She stated that [REDACTED] mother, [REDACTED] told her not to open the door and that she was on her way to the apartment. [REDACTED] stated she could hear "walkie talkies" through the door and knew the individuals at the doors were police officers. [REDACTED] stated after [REDACTED] called her mother, she sat with [REDACTED] in the bedroom and about two seconds later, she saw the front door come off the hinges, fall and hit the wall.

[REDACTED] stated that officers then entered the apartment with their firearms "out on us" and they were told to get on the ground. [REDACTED] stated the officers had their firearms out of their holsters for approximately two minutes. [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] started crying. [REDACTED] then stated she called [REDACTED] mother, [REDACTED] and told her that officers had just kicked the door down, to which [REDACTED] told [REDACTED] to stay right there and that she was almost at the apartment. [REDACTED] stated that officers then asked, "where's the other gun?"<sup>5</sup> [REDACTED] stated she and [REDACTED] asked what gun the officers meant. [REDACTED] stated one of the officers, Officer [REDACTED] then pulled a black gun with a brown handle from his vest and Officer [REDACTED] stated he just found that gun and he asked where the other gun was. [REDACTED] stated she and [REDACTED] responded that they did not know where the other gun was, and another officer, Officer [REDACTED] kept asking where the other gun was, and he was using profanity. [REDACTED] stated that the officers then grabbed [REDACTED], handcuffed him, and asked him where the other gun was, to which he responded that he did not know what gun they were talking about. [REDACTED] stated that the officers told [REDACTED] that they saw him walking a dog, so he should know where the other gun was. [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] responded that he just walked the dog and came back into the apartment.

[REDACTED] stated that Officer [REDACTED] then told them "since ya'll don't wanna talk and don't wanna tell us where the other gun at, we, I'm just gonna call, I'm gonna get y'all services cut off cuz I know y'all on public aid. I'm gonna get y'all kicked out on the street cuz y'all on Section 8."<sup>6</sup> [REDACTED] then stated that Officer [REDACTED] told them to "shut the F up. Just shut the F up."<sup>7</sup> [REDACTED] stated that Officer [REDACTED] told them to walk in the front room and that is when [REDACTED] arrived, and the officers started talking to [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated officers also kicked in the back-screen door. [REDACTED] stated that five or six officers came into the apartment. [REDACTED] stated that officers searched the bedroom she and [REDACTED] were in and the room where [REDACTED] was. [REDACTED] further stated that officers ran [REDACTED] information and Officer [REDACTED] told [REDACTED] that if he had a background, he would charge [REDACTED] with possession of the firearm. [REDACTED] stated officers went to their cars, ran [REDACTED] information, then came back up and removed the handcuffs from [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated officers then talked to [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated neither she, [REDACTED] nor [REDACTED] were arrested.

<sup>5</sup> Attachment 38, Pg. 7, Line 24 - 25.

<sup>6</sup> Attachment 38, Pg. 9, Line 6 - 11.

<sup>7</sup> Attachment 38, Pg. 9, Line 13.

████████ stated officers only damaged the front and back door, and that the entire incident lasted about an hour.<sup>8</sup>

████████ gave a digitally recorded interview to IPRA on June 29, 2012. In her statement, ██████████ stated she lives at ██████████ and she was there on June 25, 2012. ██████████ stated that around 11 or 12 in the daytime, she was sleeping with her god sister, ██████████ in her room when she heard someone knocking on the door. ██████████ stated she then heard heavy breathing as if someone else had come into the apartment. ██████████ then stated her cousin, ██████████ left to walk their dog, and he left the front door open. ██████████ stated her uncle, ██████████ ("████████"), had walked into the apartment, and ██████████ turned from walking the dog. ██████████ stated that ██████████ did not live in the apartment, but he was inside the apartment during the incident. ██████████ stated right after ██████████ returned, police were knocking on the door. ██████████ stated the police were identifying themselves as police when they were knocking at the door and she heard the word police. ██████████ stated no one answered the door when police were knocking. ██████████ stated that the door was locked when officers arrived and were knocking. ██████████ then stated she went to the back of the apartment to the dining room with ██████████ when officers kicked the door open and officers entered with their firearms drawn. ██████████ stated that four officers entered the apartment, and one of the four officers was in uniform and the other three were detectives.<sup>9</sup> ██████████ stated that the four officers entered the back room together. ██████████ stated that two detectives pointed their firearms at her and her cousin. ██████████ stated that ██████████ was then arrested at the apartment. ██████████ stated the officers told her they found a handgun and that they were looking for a second handgun. ██████████ stated one of the detectives, Officer ██████████ had a little black handgun with a brown handle sticking out of his vest. ██████████ stated Officer ██████████ had the black handgun before searching the apartment.

████████ then stated that after entering the apartment, officers handcuffed ██████████ and ██████████. ██████████ stated the officers searched her room, her brother's room, the dining room and the room where she and ██████████ were. ██████████ stated that at this point, one of the detectives, Officer ██████████ "start like spazzin' out" and he kept telling her to shut up and he was going to cut off her and her family's services and that her and her mother would go stay on the street. ██████████ also stated that Officer ██████████ said, "fuck this." ██████████ stated that her mother, ██████████ and her aunt, ██████████ ("████████"), came home and her mother talked to the officers. ██████████ stated that after her mother talked with the officers, the uniformed officer took ██████████ downstairs and the other three officers stayed in the apartment and continued to talk to her mother. ██████████ stated that officers did not find another gun in the apartment. ██████████ stated she was never searched or physically hurt during the incident. ██████████ stated that her mother then asked for a supervisor and that she wanted to make a complaint. ██████████ stated that outside the apartment her little brother, ██████████ ("████████"), and her cousin, ██████████ ("████████"), were also arrested. ██████████ stated that ██████████ and ██████████ were arrested for reckless conduct and ██████████ was arrested for having the gun, but she further stated that ██████████ did not have the gun, the officers did. ██████████ stated the front door of the apartment was damaged and the screen back door was damaged as well.<sup>10</sup>

████████ gave a digitally recorded interview to IPRA on August 24, 2012. ██████████ stated that on June 25, 2012, at approximately 12:00 pm, he was walking a dog outside ██████████ Apt. █████ when he saw police pull up and people running. ██████████ stated he walked the dog for

<sup>8</sup> Attachment 38

<sup>9</sup> Although ██████████ identified the officers as detectives, no detectives were present.

<sup>10</sup> Attachment 44

approximately ten minutes before taking the dog back upstairs and he then locked the door. [REDACTED] stated he then went to play video games in the back-dining room and heard someone knocking on the door. [REDACTED] stated he did not answer the door and the knocking got louder and the dog began to bark. [REDACTED] stated the knocking occurred for approximately five minutes. [REDACTED] then stated he heard someone kick the door and the door came off the door frame and fell to the floor. [REDACTED] stated officers then came in and screamed at him and his cousins. [REDACTED] stated the officers told them to "put your hands up!" [REDACTED] stated there were two officers who came in the back of the apartment and they were both in plain clothes wearing black vests. [REDACTED] stated his cousin ran to the back-dining room where he was, and she began to cry. [REDACTED] stated officers entered with their guns drawn and pointed their guns at them for a few seconds before lowering them. [REDACTED] stated officers asked why no one opened the door, then he was placed into handcuffs and walked by an officer into the hallway. [REDACTED] then stated officers brought his uncle, [REDACTED] out of the bathroom of the apartment and they brought him into the hallway as well. [REDACTED] stated that he did not know his uncle was in the apartment. [REDACTED] stated that while they were in the hallway, officers asked his uncle where a gun was. [REDACTED] stated that a black officer pulled a gun out of his vest and asked whose gun it was, and [REDACTED] responded that it was not his. [REDACTED] then stated officers asked [REDACTED] why he was bleeding to which [REDACTED] answered, "Cause I went through the window."<sup>11</sup> [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] was bleeding from both of his arms. [REDACTED] stated that his aunt arrived at the apartment. [REDACTED] stated his handcuffs were eventually taken off and they took [REDACTED] to jail.<sup>12</sup>

[REDACTED] gave a digitally recorded interview to IPRA on October 8, 2014. [REDACTED] stated that he is the uncle of [REDACTED] daughter, and [REDACTED] is like a sister-in-law to him. [REDACTED] stated that he resided at [REDACTED] on the [REDACTED], on June 25, 2012. [REDACTED] stated that around the time of the alleged incident, he was standing outside of [REDACTED] and talking to a few guys. [REDACTED] stated that police approached, and he and the few guys scattered. [REDACTED] stated he went through a gate, through the building at [REDACTED], up a few flights of stairs, and out of the back of the building. [REDACTED] stated he entered [REDACTED] by climbing through a glass door that was missing a half. [REDACTED] stated he left [REDACTED] through a [REDACTED] apartment window. [REDACTED] stated that as he came out of the window he heard officers telling him to freeze, but he kept running away.

[REDACTED] stated he ran through [REDACTED] with another individual, [REDACTED] ("[REDACTED"]"), but [REDACTED] went in another direction at some point. [REDACTED] stated he then went over a gate and through an enclosed back porch with officers in pursuit about 20 feet behind him. [REDACTED] stated he then closed the door and went upstairs to the [REDACTED], where he went through an abandoned apartment. [REDACTED] stated he came out of the front door of the apartment and immediately went to the next apartment on the [REDACTED] floor, which was [REDACTED] apartment. [REDACTED] said he never stopped running and he was determined to get away from the officers. [REDACTED] stated no officers chased him through the apartment complex, nor did any officers see him run into [REDACTED] apartment. [REDACTED] stated a man saw him running through the back porch as he ran into it, and [REDACTED] stated he believed that man told the officers where he went.

[REDACTED] stated he closed the door behind him after he entered [REDACTED] apartment. [REDACTED] stated that he knew officers were chasing him. [REDACTED] stated he did not suffer any injuries, nor did he bleed from his hands. [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED], and [REDACTED] were already in the apartment. [REDACTED] stated he saw [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] when he entered the apartment, but he did not see [REDACTED] until

<sup>11</sup> Attachment 63, Pg. 18, Line 22 – 23.

<sup>12</sup> Attachment 63

later. [REDACTED] stated that he heard knocking at the door, but no one went to the door to answer it and approximately ten minutes later, the door of the apartment came crashing down and police kicked the door open. [REDACTED] stated he was in the bathroom of the apartment and when he came out he saw [REDACTED] in handcuffs. [REDACTED] stated that there were four officers in the apartment, three in plain clothes and one in uniform. [REDACTED] stated a sergeant in plain clothes came into the apartment as well. [REDACTED] stated that officers were shaking [REDACTED] and pointed to the top of his head and said, "This is the one."<sup>13</sup> [REDACTED] stated that he did not believe the officers had their firearms drawn. [REDACTED] then stated that he asked officers what they were arresting [REDACTED] for, when at that moment [REDACTED] came through the door and said [REDACTED] is only 14 or 15 years old. [REDACTED] then stated that officers took the handcuffs off [REDACTED] and then they put the handcuffs on him. [REDACTED] stated that Officer [REDACTED] pulled a little black gun from his pocket and showed the gun to [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated that he had never seen the gun before.

[REDACTED] stated he never saw any officers point their guns at anyone, as he was in the bathroom when the officers entered [REDACTED] apartment. [REDACTED] stated he did not hear any officers state, "You know what the 'f' goin' on" or something to that effect. [REDACTED] stated he did not hear any officers state "You all gonna sit here and fuckin' lie." [REDACTED] also stated he never heard any officers threaten anyone with other government action. [REDACTED] stated other individuals from the initial crowd were arrested. [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] son, [REDACTED] was arrested for an unrelated fight that occurred outside.<sup>14</sup>

Sergeant of Police [REDACTED] # [REDACTED] ("Sgt. [REDACTED]") gave a digitally recorded interview to IPRA on March 20, 2013. In his statement to IPRA, Sgt. [REDACTED] stated he was on duty as tactical sergeant for Beat [REDACTED] on June 25, 2012. Sgt. [REDACTED] stated he was in plain clothes on June 25, 2012. Sgt. [REDACTED] stated that he assisted Beat [REDACTED]<sup>15</sup> who were engaged in a foot pursuit involving a man with a gun, but he got there at the end of the pursuit, when [REDACTED] was apprehended in the [REDACTED]-floor apartment. Sgt. [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] fled from officers through multiple buildings. Sgt. [REDACTED] stated that he met with Beat [REDACTED] who was already in the building at [REDACTED] and he stated that they chased [REDACTED] up to the [REDACTED] floor and they observed [REDACTED] enter the [REDACTED]-floor apartment. Sgt. [REDACTED] stated he met with them prior to them entering the apartment.

Sgt. [REDACTED] stated that the officers were knocking on the door and telling [REDACTED] to open the door, but he did not do so. Sgt. [REDACTED] stated that officers then made entry into the exterior door of the [REDACTED] floor apartment by kicking the door, and he believed Officer [REDACTED] kicked open the door. Sgt. [REDACTED] stated that he knew Officer [REDACTED] was at the door and he believed Officer [REDACTED] was as well. Sgt. [REDACTED] then stated that he could see blood on the outside of the door, near the door handle and door frame. Sgt. [REDACTED] stated that when they got inside the apartment, they found [REDACTED] with blood coming off one of his hands and he was looking around. Sgt. [REDACTED] stated that other individuals were in the apartment when [REDACTED] was taken into custody and [REDACTED] was placed into custody without incident. Sgt. [REDACTED] stated that there were two females in the apartment, either in their late teens or early 20s. Sgt. [REDACTED] stated that he believed a firearm was recovered from [REDACTED] when he went through a glass window, and Officer [REDACTED] recovered the firearm when he was pursuing [REDACTED]. Sgt. [REDACTED] stated that they entered the apartment because officers recovered a firearm that was dropped by [REDACTED] during the pursuit, and they entered the apartment to apprehend him.

<sup>13</sup> Attachment 114, Pg. 5, Line 7.

<sup>14</sup> Attachment 114.

<sup>15</sup> Officer [REDACTED] Officer [REDACTED] and Officer [REDACTED] were on Beat [REDACTED] that day.

Sgt. [REDACTED] stated that he did not remember if he and Beat [REDACTED] had their weapons drawn when they entered the apartment, but he did not believe that Officer [REDACTED] pointed his gun at [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] inside the apartment. Sgt. [REDACTED] stated Officer [REDACTED] did not state "Fuck this" to [REDACTED] nor did Officer [REDACTED] state "Where the fuck is the gun?" to [REDACTED]. Sgt. [REDACTED] also denied hearing Officer [REDACTED] state, "you all know the shit and you all going to sit here and fucking lie" and he further denied hearing Officer [REDACTED] threaten [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] with government action with regards to cutting off public assistance. Sgt. [REDACTED] also stated that Officer [REDACTED] did not point his weapon at [REDACTED] nor did he point his weapon at [REDACTED]. Sgt. [REDACTED] stated that a uniformed officer, Officer [REDACTED], came later to transport [REDACTED].

Sgt. [REDACTED] stated he spoke with the leaseholder of the apartment, [REDACTED] and he explained why they were in the apartment and that they chased [REDACTED] into her apartment. Sgt. [REDACTED] stated he asked [REDACTED] if [REDACTED] should be in her apartment and she told him no, and that [REDACTED] stays down the block in a different apartment and he should not be in her apartment at that time.<sup>16</sup>

Police Officer [REDACTED] # [REDACTED] ("Officer [REDACTED]") gave a digitally recorded interview to IPRA on April 18, 2013. Officer [REDACTED] stated he was full duty and in uniform on June 25, 2012. Officer [REDACTED] stated he did not work with a partner that day and was working routine patrol. Officer [REDACTED] stated that he had an assignment at [REDACTED] that day and the tactical team called for assistance. Officer [REDACTED] stated that the tactical team relayed that there were criminal trespassers in an apartment and one of the guys supposedly jumped out of a window. Officer [REDACTED] stated that he heard the call for assistance and went to [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] stated that he acted as a guard officer and he entered the building at [REDACTED], and he went up to the [REDACTED] floor where officers chased one individual.

Officer [REDACTED] stated that there was a lot of screaming when he got to the [REDACTED] floor. Officer [REDACTED] stated that officers were entering the [REDACTED]-floor apartment right when he got there. Officer [REDACTED] believed someone let them into the apartment, but he was not completely certain. Officer [REDACTED] stated there was about twenty guys standing at the door, he was at the end, and he was coming up the stairs when everyone was going into the apartment. Officer [REDACTED] stated that the group of officers were mixed between tactical officers and officers in uniform. Officer [REDACTED] stated that after the individual they were chasing was a placed into custody, he stood near the individual in the hallway inside the apartment. Officer [REDACTED] stated that there was a lot of screaming and cussing at the tactical team. Officer [REDACTED] stated that a female, who he believed was the leaseholder of the apartment, showed up later and may have talked to the sergeant in the apartment. Officer [REDACTED] stated there were about three other civilians in the apartment besides the arrestee. Officer [REDACTED] stated he did not hear Officer [REDACTED] say, "where the fuck is the gun?" nor did he hear him say "Y'all know the shit." Officer [REDACTED] then stated he did not hear Officer [REDACTED] say "You gonna sit here and fuckin lie." Nor did he hear Officer [REDACTED] threaten [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] with government action. Officer [REDACTED] stated he never saw Officer [REDACTED] point his gun at [REDACTED] nor did he say "fuck this" to her. Officer [REDACTED] also stated he did not see Officer [REDACTED] point his gun at [REDACTED] or [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] stated no officers had their guns drawn when he arrived. Officer [REDACTED] stated the individuals in the apartment were cussing and loud. Officer [REDACTED] stated he transported [REDACTED] to the district and he did not recall seeing any injuries on [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] stated that the individuals in the home told officers that the man they arrested was the wrong guy. Officer [REDACTED] stated that he did not believe anyone else was arrested at [REDACTED].

---

<sup>16</sup> Attachment 83

Officer [REDACTED] further stated that [REDACTED] may have had blood on his clothing, but he did not need any medical attention.<sup>17</sup>

Police Officer [REDACTED] # [REDACTED] gave a digitally recorded interview to IPRA on November 14, 2013. Officer [REDACTED] stated he was working full duty in the area of [REDACTED] on June 25, 2012. Officer [REDACTED] stated he was working in plain clothes, wearing his vest with a visible police star, and he was in an unmarked vehicle that day. Officer [REDACTED] further stated that he was working with Officer [REDACTED] and Officer [REDACTED] in a tactical unit that day. Officer [REDACTED] stated that while on patrol in the area of [REDACTED] he and his team observed a group of known gang members congregating in the area. Officer [REDACTED] stated he and his team exited the vehicle and approached the group for a field interview, at which time, [REDACTED] fled the scene. Officer [REDACTED] further stated that [REDACTED] was the only individual to run away from the officers and he held his side, while the other individuals put their hands up and walked away. Officer [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] ran to a door about fifteen feet away from where he was originally standing, and he could not open the door.

Officer [REDACTED] then stated [REDACTED] dove through a glass window head first, dropped his firearm, which was recovered by Officer [REDACTED] and Officer [REDACTED] and his team pursued [REDACTED] through a residential apartment building. Officer [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] then jumped through a second window on the first floor of a residential apartment and landed in a yard and Officer [REDACTED] continued to pursue [REDACTED] but Officer [REDACTED] lost sight of them. Officer [REDACTED] stated that he believed [REDACTED] jumped a fence in the yard and went into the building next door, with Officer [REDACTED] still in pursuit. Officer [REDACTED] stated he could not remember if Officer [REDACTED] jumped the same fence or not. Officer [REDACTED] stated there was broken glass and debris in the building. Officer [REDACTED] stated he heard other responding officers in the front of the building. Officer [REDACTED] stated officers were already inside the other building when he entered through the front door. Officer [REDACTED] stated that after entering through the front door he made his way up to a [REDACTED]-floor apartment, where the other officers were and the door to the apartment was open. Officer [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] had blood on his hands from going through the glass window, his heart was beating really fast, and he was very sweaty. Officer [REDACTED] stated he believed he placed [REDACTED] into custody. Officer [REDACTED] stated there were also two to three residents who lived in the apartment, and they did not know who [REDACTED] was and he was not related to them. Officer [REDACTED] stated the residents were one older female, one younger female, and possibly a third female. Officer [REDACTED] stated a sergeant was also on the [REDACTED] floor.

Officer [REDACTED] stated he did not see any officer make forced entry, as the door was already open when he arrived. Officer [REDACTED] also stated that he did not have his weapon drawn when he arrived at the [REDACTED]-floor apartment. Officer [REDACTED] stated Officer [REDACTED] was in the apartment, but he could not recall if Officer [REDACTED] was also in the apartment. Officer [REDACTED] stated that he did not observe any officers with their guns drawn when he arrived. Officer [REDACTED] stated he had no knowledge of Officer [REDACTED] forcing open [REDACTED] door, or entering her apartment without a warrant or permission. Officer [REDACTED] stated that it would have been permissible for Officer [REDACTED] to enter the apartment because he was pursuing a person with a gun. Officer [REDACTED] also stated he had no knowledge of Officer [REDACTED] pointing a gun at [REDACTED] or [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] stated he never heard Officer [REDACTED] say "fuck this" to [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] further stated that he never heard Officer [REDACTED] say "Where the fuck is the gun? You all know this shit, and you're gonna sit here

<sup>17</sup> Attachment 90

and fuckin' lie?" Officer [REDACTED] also stated that he never heard Officer [REDACTED] threaten [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] with government action.<sup>18</sup>

**Accused Police Officer [REDACTED] # [REDACTED]** ("Officer [REDACTED]") gave a digitally recorded interview to IPRA on September 5, 2013. Officer [REDACTED] stated he was on duty, in civilian dress, on June 25, 2012. Officer [REDACTED] stated he was working with two partners that day, Officer [REDACTED] and Officer [REDACTED] and they were assigned to Beat [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] stated that he was assigned to the violence zone that day because of some ongoing disputes in the neighborhood. Officer [REDACTED] then stated that he observed a group congregating at [REDACTED], and he went to speak with the individuals of the group when [REDACTED] started to run away. Officer [REDACTED] stated that he pursued [REDACTED] on foot. Officer [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] ran south and then east into a vacant building. Officer [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] jumped head first into a storm door, which broke and fell to the floor. Officer [REDACTED] stated he followed [REDACTED] through the same door. Officer [REDACTED] stated that he was within feet of [REDACTED] when he jumped through the screen door, and as he jumped through the screen door, Officer [REDACTED] observed a gun fall from [REDACTED] waistband and hit the floor. Officer [REDACTED] stated that his partner, Officer [REDACTED] was behind him and recovered the dropped gun. Officer [REDACTED] relayed that he did not know if [REDACTED] had another gun or not.

Officer [REDACTED] then stated he continued chasing [REDACTED] through the vacant building on the lower most floor, at which time [REDACTED] exited the building through a second door. Officer [REDACTED] then stated [REDACTED] jumped over a six or seven-foot fence just south of the building. Officer [REDACTED] stated the fence had three rolls of barbed wire on it, so he did not jump it, but went around through an alley to get inside the fence. Officer [REDACTED] stated that he lost sight of [REDACTED] when he entered another building, but a gentleman who was out manicuring his lawn pointed him in the right direction. Officer [REDACTED] then stated he entered the other building and he could hear and see [REDACTED] running upstairs to the [REDACTED] floor of the apartment building. Officer [REDACTED] stated that he was at the bottom of the staircase, approximately 20 feet away from [REDACTED] when he observed [REDACTED] making entry into the third floor. Officer [REDACTED] stated that he saw [REDACTED] blood all over the middle part of the door and [REDACTED] slamming the door behind him. Officer [REDACTED] stated that he continued the chase and banged on the door. Officer [REDACTED] stated he tried to gain entry and break the door by using his foot to break it, but he could not. Officer [REDACTED] stated he was not sure if either he eventually broke open the door or if Officer [REDACTED] did, but they eventually made entry into the apartment.

Officer [REDACTED] then stated that after gaining entry, he was the first police officer in the apartment and went to the right of the residence. Officer [REDACTED] stated he had his gun drawn and came upon two females and one younger male inside the residence. Officer [REDACTED] stated that he told the two females and younger male that they were the police, and to calm down as one of the individuals was crying. Officer [REDACTED] stated that at this point one of the other officers said they apprehended [REDACTED] and Officer [REDACTED] told the two females and younger male they could relax. Officer [REDACTED] stated Sgt. [REDACTED] and some other uniformed officers were also in the apartment and entered behind him.

Officer [REDACTED] stated he believed he was in "hot pursuit" of [REDACTED] Officer [REDACTED] stated he forced open the door to [REDACTED] apartment because he was apprehending [REDACTED] who had a weapon, he did not know if [REDACTED] had more weapons, he did not know who was on the other side of the apartment door, and he felt like he was protecting the citizens who lived at the apartment by apprehending [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] stated he knew [REDACTED] was in the apartment and observed him enter. Officer [REDACTED] further

<sup>18</sup> Attachment 108

stated that he did not have a warrant or permission to enter [REDACTED] apartment, but he entered because he was in "hot pursuit" of [REDACTED] and he entered the apartment for the safety of everybody involved. Officer [REDACTED] stated he did not know if [REDACTED] resided in [REDACTED] apartment. Officer [REDACTED] stated he entered the apartment with his gun drawn, but it was unholstered in a safe manner and not pointed at [REDACTED] or [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] stated he did not say "Fuck this." to [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] also denied saying "where the fuck is the gun?" to [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] also denied saying, "you all know this shit" to [REDACTED] and he further denied saying, "You all are going to sit here and fucking lie?" to [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] also stated he did not threaten [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] with government action. Officer [REDACTED] stated Officer [REDACTED] may have helped him kick in [REDACTED] door, and Officer [REDACTED] entered [REDACTED] apartment without a warrant or permission. Officer [REDACTED] stated Officer [REDACTED] entered the apartment because he was also in hot pursuit of [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] stated Officer [REDACTED] did not point his gun at [REDACTED] or did he point his gun at [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] came to the apartment shortly after [REDACTED] arrest, but he did not believe he spoke to her. Officer [REDACTED] stated a supervisor spoke to [REDACTED] and he heard that a supervisor was requested over the radio.<sup>19</sup>

**Accused Police Officer [REDACTED] # [REDACTED]** gave a **second** digitally recorded interview to IPRA on November 19, 2015. In his second statement, Officer [REDACTED] stated he stood by his first statement he gave to IPRA on September 5, 2013. Officer [REDACTED] stated he saw [REDACTED] enter Apartment No. [REDACTED], and that he did not falsely document the circumstances surrounding the arrest of [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] also stated that he did see blood all over Apartment No. [REDACTED] door and that it was smeared over the middle side of the door. Officer [REDACTED] stated he would not define his actions in the apartment as a search, he believed he looked and cleared through the rooms for officer safety. Officer [REDACTED] stated that he did not flip any mattress over, but he was not sure what other officers were doing behind him. Officer [REDACTED] stated he conducted a sweep of the apartment but not a search and he never searched any part of the building where [REDACTED] was arrested. Officer [REDACTED] stated he was in continuous pursuit of [REDACTED] but he lost him at some point when Officer [REDACTED] was in a basement of the apartment complex, prior to the maintenance worker pointing out the direction [REDACTED] ran. Officer [REDACTED] stated he was clearing rooms through the apartment complex while pursuing [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] stated he was exiting the apartment complex on either the first or second floor when he looked up and saw [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] stated that he knew other officers were in the apartment complex. Officer [REDACTED] also stated that he did not hear a radio transmission of officers asking if anyone had checked the apartment door with blood on it prior to Officer [REDACTED], Officer [REDACTED] and Sgt. [REDACTED] reaching the door. Officer [REDACTED] stated that he let Officer [REDACTED] and Sgt. [REDACTED] know that he saw Mr. [REDACTED] enter Apartment No. [REDACTED], but he did not announce it over the radio.<sup>20</sup>

**Accused Police Officer [REDACTED] # [REDACTED] ("Officer [REDACTED]")** gave a digitally recorded interview to IPRA on September 6, 2013. Officer [REDACTED] stated that he was on duty, in civilian dress, on June 25, 2012. Officer [REDACTED] stated he was working with Officer [REDACTED] and Officer [REDACTED] on that day and they were assigned as a tactical unit, Beat [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] stated he and his team were on a violence reduction mission due to an on-going gang conflict near [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] stated he and his team pulled up on [REDACTED] and they observed a group of young men congregating and they knew the young men to be members of a gang. Officer [REDACTED] stated he and his team stopped and tried to talk to the group of young men, but as soon as they stopped, the group dispersed and one individual, [REDACTED] ran away. Officer [REDACTED] stated

<sup>19</sup> Attachment 101

<sup>20</sup> Attachment 133

that [REDACTED] then ran inside of [REDACTED] and he leaped headfirst through a glass portion of a door, and then ran through the hallway of [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] stated that he and his team pursued [REDACTED] and during the pursuit [REDACTED] dropped a firearm which Officer [REDACTED] recovered. Officer [REDACTED] then stated that he, Officer [REDACTED] and Officer [REDACTED] pursued [REDACTED] through the hallway of the building at [REDACTED], and [REDACTED] then ran out of the back of the building, hopped a gate, with a chain like fence, and got over to [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] stated that the fence had barbed wire on it, so he and his team decided not to hop the fence but went around it to the alley. Officer [REDACTED] stated that by the time they got around the fence, they lost sight of [REDACTED]

Officer [REDACTED] stated that there was a maintenance worker on the grounds and he pointed in the direction where [REDACTED] ran. Officer [REDACTED] stated that he entered a back-porch area at [REDACTED], and he could then hear [REDACTED] running. Officer [REDACTED] stated that Officer [REDACTED] was in front of him, but he could hear [REDACTED] running. Officer [REDACTED] stated that there was either three or four floors to the building at [REDACTED] but he and his team reached the top floor of the building, where there was another maintenance worker who told Officer [REDACTED] "He went through this way." Officer [REDACTED] stated he and his team ran through a vacant apartment, Apartment No. [REDACTED], in pursuit of [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] stated that he followed a trail of blood in a hallway to the door of Apartment No. [REDACTED] and he saw [REDACTED] opening the door to Apartment No. [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] entered the apartment and slammed the door. Officer [REDACTED] stated that both he and Officer [REDACTED] banged on the door, and they eventually were able to break the door open. Officer [REDACTED] stated he was hitting the door with his shoulder. Officer [REDACTED] stated that he and Officer [REDACTED] forced entry into the apartment and it took less than ten seconds to make entry. Officer [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] surrendered once they entered the apartment; [REDACTED] laid down and Officer [REDACTED] kneeled on him. Officer [REDACTED] stated he did not recall if he placed handcuffs on [REDACTED] or if someone else did. Officer [REDACTED] stated he was not sure how many officers were behind him when making entry into the apartment, but he recalled Officer [REDACTED] there, Sgt. [REDACTED] and some uniformed officers there as well. Officer [REDACTED] stated officers searched the immediate area and he believed they found a bag of suspect cocaine on a table near [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] stated the total time between seeing [REDACTED] flee to arresting him lasted a couple of minutes. Officer [REDACTED] considered the chase a "hot pursuit," as he saw [REDACTED] commit a crime and he attempted to flee from officers.

Officer [REDACTED] stated that the leaseholder of the apartment, [REDACTED] arrived and he spoke to her. Officer [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] wanted to know what was going on, she seemed upset, and she relayed that [REDACTED] did not live in her apartment. Officer [REDACTED] stated there were also a couple girls and a guy in the Apartment No. [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] stated Officer [REDACTED] did force open [REDACTED] apartment door because he was in "hot pursuit" of an armed fleeing felon. Officer [REDACTED] stated he did not know if [REDACTED] possibly had a second gun. Officer [REDACTED] stated that Officer [REDACTED] entered [REDACTED] apartment without a warrant or permission because he was in pursuit of [REDACTED] who was running because he had a gun. Officer [REDACTED] stated he and Officer [REDACTED] had their weapons drawn when they entered the apartment, but Officer [REDACTED] never pointed his firearm at [REDACTED] nor did he point his firearm at [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] stated Officer [REDACTED] never stated "Fuck this" to [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] never said "Where the fuck is the gun?" to [REDACTED]. He never said "You all know the shit." to [REDACTED]. He never said "You all gonna sit here and fucking lie?" to [REDACTED] and Officer [REDACTED] never threatened [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] with government action.

Officer [REDACTED] stated he forced open the door to [REDACTED] apartment because he was in pursuit of [REDACTED] who he was chasing because he had a gun and he was in hot pursuit of [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] stated he did not know if [REDACTED] lived in Apartment No. [REDACTED], or if there were any occupants in

the apartment who could have been in danger. Officer [REDACTED] stated he entered [REDACTED] apartment without a warrant or permission because he was in "hot pursuit" of [REDACTED] who had a firearm, and he did not know if there were any occupants who could have been in danger in the apartment. Officer [REDACTED] stated he had his gun unholstered when he entered the apartment because he was chasing a person with a gun, but he did not point his gun at [REDACTED] or [REDACTED].<sup>21</sup>

**Accused Police Officer [REDACTED] # [REDACTED]** gave a second digitally recorded interview to IPRA on November 24, 2015. In his second statement to IPRA, Officer [REDACTED] stated that he stood by his first statement he gave to IPRA on September 6, 2013 regarding the alleged incident that occurred on June 25, 2012. Officer [REDACTED] stated that he saw [REDACTED] open the door to the [REDACTED]-floor apartment, Apartment No. [REDACTED], and he saw [REDACTED] enter the apartment. Officer [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] slammed the door of Apartment [REDACTED] on Officer [REDACTED] and himself after he entered the apartment. Officer [REDACTED] also stated that [REDACTED] slammed the door to close it and stop them entering the apartment.

Officer [REDACTED] stated he believed Officer [REDACTED] originally called out the initial foot pursuit of [REDACTED] over the radio. Officer [REDACTED] also stated that Officer [REDACTED] called that [REDACTED] was in custody over the radio as well. Officer [REDACTED] stated he did not go over the radio to inform others of his status because he was concerned with the pursuit of [REDACTED] and entering the apartment. Officer [REDACTED] stated he and Officer [REDACTED] waited for a supervisor before making entry into the apartment. Officer [REDACTED] reiterated that [REDACTED] dropped a gun that he recovered during the pursuit and [REDACTED] could have possibly still been armed. Officer [REDACTED] stated that he turned down his radio for tactical reasons and not to possibly alert [REDACTED] of his presence, and as such he did hear officers ask over the radio if anyone had searched the apartment which had blood on the entry door. Officer [REDACTED] stated Officer [REDACTED] was the first officer to reach the entry door to the Apartment [REDACTED], and he was behind Officer [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] stated that he later met with [REDACTED] at the county jail to talk to him about a gang conflict involving the [REDACTED], of which Officer [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] was a leader. Officer [REDACTED] stated that he did not discuss anything regarding the complaint filed against him with [REDACTED].<sup>22</sup>

IPRA also interviewed Police Officer [REDACTED] # [REDACTED] ("Officer [REDACTED]")<sup>23</sup>, Police Officer [REDACTED] # [REDACTED] ("Officer [REDACTED]")<sup>24</sup> and Police Officer [REDACTED] # [REDACTED] ("Officer [REDACTED]").<sup>25</sup> Their statements were not material to COPA's analysis.

## B. Digital Evidence

**Evidence Technician photographs** were taken on June 25, 2012, at 4:40 pm by Officer [REDACTED] # [REDACTED]. Fifteen photographs were taken. The photographs depict the doors and bedroom at [REDACTED] # [REDACTED]. The photographs show damage to a door as well as some of the interior of the apartment.<sup>26</sup>

<sup>21</sup> Attachment 106

<sup>22</sup> Attachment 138

<sup>23</sup> Attachment 84

<sup>24</sup> Attachment 86

<sup>25</sup> Attachment 92

<sup>26</sup> Attachment 58

An OEMC Tape Transmission Transcription captures the 911 radio traffic regarding the incident. The transmission captures an officer stating “████████ you got two running with guns.” The transmission then captures an officer stating, “Squad description is gonna be a male black, white t-shirt, dark pants, about five eight, 165.” The transmission continues and an officer states that there is one offender in the alley behind █ and █████. The transmission captures an officer stating, “Okay uh, there’s one that backtracked, he’s hiding in the yard. He hasn’t seen me yet.” The transmission captures an officer stating over the radio, “squad cause he ran right through the grass, uh glass, so he might be bloody.” The transmission continues to capture an officer stating, “Yeah possibly injured, they went through a glass door. Two of ‘em.” The transmission then captures an officer stating, “Uh █ we’re going in the rear. That’s officers comin’ in the buildin’.” The transmission continues and captures an officer stating that they are going to the third floor. At 07:48.6, an officer requests a partner with mobile for Officer █████. At 8:05, Officer █████ says he is searching the basement.

The transmission captures officers discussing what areas have been searched, and at 10:26.3 an officer states, “If the doorknob was the apartment adjacent to the one we were at, Sarge, there’s blood on the UH doorknob. We’re trying to get in the apartment next to where we were.” The transmission then captures an officer saying he will come back up. The transmission captures at 10:44.5, an officer asking if that apartment is the one with a barking dog in it. At 14:09.8 an officer states, “Watch the back door. We’re in!” The transmission continues and at 15:31.3 an officer states, “In custody squad, in custody.” Then, at 16:04.9, the transmission captures Beat █ announcing they have one individual in custody. The transmission continues with dispatch requesting a supervisor for a █ who stated that officers were kicking at her door without a search warrant and kicked her door in at █████.<sup>27</sup>

### C. Documentary Evidence

An Initiation of Complaint Report, initiated the complaint with IPRA. The report is from Sgt. █████ #████ and sent to Commander █████. The report lists the allegation as Unlawful Use of Force and Criminal Damage to Vehicle. The report lists the complainants as █████ █████ and █████. The report lists the date and time as June 25, 2012, at 12:00 pm to 12:15 pm. The report lists the location of the alleged incident as █████ Apt █. The report lists the accused as one uniformed police officer possibly Officer █████ and four plainclothes police officers, names unknown. The report continues with a brief narrative which reads as follows:

On 25 June 2012 at approximately 1345 hours, the R/Sgt received assigned of a supervisor request at █████. Upon response and arrival, the R/Sgt met with the complainant, █████ and the above stated complainants. █████ stated that she was not home at the time of the incident and was informed of the details by the other complaints. The complaints related, in summary, that on the date and time stated, numerous police officers banged on their apartment door and then kicked the door in. Upon entry, they pulled their weapons and asked the complaints “where’s the guns”? The complainants related that they did not know what the police were referring to and no guns were in the apartment. The officers subsequently left with no arrests. Earlier In the afternoon, a call of “men with guns”

<sup>27</sup> Attachment 74

was given in the area of [REDACTED] and arrests were made at [REDACTED] Event number [REDACTED]. The R/Sgt. Contacted IPRA, [REDACTED] and obtained Log Number # [REDACTED] at 1535 hours. Evidence Technician requested via Citywide at 1600 hours.<sup>28</sup>

An Arrest Report CB # [REDACTED], details [REDACTED] st. The report states that [REDACTED] was arrested on June 25, 2012, at 12:10 pm. The report further states that [REDACTED] was arrested at [REDACTED], [REDACTED]. The report lists the offense as UUW – Weapon – Felon, Possess/Use Firearm, 720 ILCS 5.0/24-1.1-A. The report lists the arresting officers as Officer [REDACTED] # [REDACTED] and Officer [REDACTED] # [REDACTED]. The report states:

Event [REDACTED] in summary, this is a arrest conducted by [REDACTED] in [REDACTED] District violence zone in civilian dress/unmarked vehicle. All events occurred 1000Ft. from [REDACTED] [REDACTED] R/O observed a group of males congregating at the above location. R/O approached and observed the subject now known as [REDACTED] (offender) flee towards vacant building and enter through glass door head first. R/O within 2 feet entered same window and observed [REDACTED] (offender) drop a gun in the hallway and enter through a second door window. P.O. [REDACTED] recovers weapon from hallway during the entry. [REDACTED] (offender) continued through the hallway and exited rear door where he jumped over a fence and proceeded next door at [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and continued to [REDACTED] [REDACTED] (offender) made it to [REDACTED] floor and was able to gain entry. R/O made it to [REDACTED] and observed offender enter the residence. R/O in hot pursuit announce office and gained entry and observed [REDACTED] (offender) in hallway of the apartment with blood on his hands. R/O placed in custody, mirandized and no trap. Weapon recovered Smith & Wesson .38 caliber blue steel revolver serial # [REDACTED] loaded with 4 live rounds. Clear not registered per gun desk ([REDACTED] [REDACTED]). Offender refused medical attention. Inventory # [REDACTED]<sup>29</sup>

Additional arrests were made on scene after [REDACTED] arrest, those arrests are not material to COPA's analysis.<sup>30</sup>

A Property Inventory Log # [REDACTED], documents the items seized during [REDACTED] arrest. The log lists that a firearm, specifically a Smith & Wesson, Model 38 SPL, Serial # [REDACTED], 38 caliber, revolver, 1.5 inch barrel, blue steel, was taken and inventoried. The log lists the date that the firearm was recovered as June 25, 2012. The log lists the associated RD # as [REDACTED]. The log lists the charges as 143A, Weapons Violation Unlawful Possession of Handgun. The log lists the owner of the inventoried firearm as [REDACTED] and the firearm was seized at [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. The log then lists Officer [REDACTED] as the investigating officer, and that the firearm will be held for investigation. The log also lists Officer [REDACTED] on the report.<sup>31</sup>

<sup>28</sup> Attachment 47

<sup>29</sup> Attachment 4

<sup>30</sup> See generally Attachments 13, 15, and 17

<sup>31</sup> Attachment 7

The **Property Inventory Log** (# [REDACTED]) further documents other items seized during [REDACTED] arrest. The log lists that a plastic baggie containing a white powdery substance was taken and inventoried. The log lists the date that the baggie was recovered as June 25, 2012. The log lists the associated RD # as [REDACTED]. The log lists that the baggie was seized at [REDACTED] Apt. [REDACTED]. The log then lists Officer [REDACTED] and Officer [REDACTED] as the officers who found the baggie.<sup>32</sup>

An **Original Case Incident Report**, RD # [REDACTED] documents [REDACTED] arrest. The report notes the violation as 143A – Weapons Violation – Unlawful Possession of Handgun and 2093 – Narcotics – Found Suspect Narcotics, with the occurrence location listed as [REDACTED] [REDACTED], and the occurrence date and time listed as 25 June 2012 11:55 am. The report lists the suspect as [REDACTED] with his residence listed as [REDACTED]. The report lists that a firearm and 4 live rounds of ammunition was recovered from [REDACTED]. The report further lists that Officer [REDACTED] suffered an injury, which is documented as a laceration (other – chase through glass door.) The report narrative states:

Event [REDACTED] in summary, this is an arrest conducted by [REDACTED] in [REDACTED] district violence zone in civilian dress/unmarked vehicle. All events occurred 1000ft. from [REDACTED] R/O observed a group of males congregating at the above location. R/O approached and observed the subject now known as [REDACTED] (offender) flee towards vacant building and enter through glass door head first. R/O within 2 feet entered same window and observed [REDACTED] (offender) drop a gun in the hallway and enter through a second door window. P.O. [REDACTED] recovers weapon from hallway during the entry. [REDACTED] (offender) continued through hallway and exited rear door where he jumped over a fence and proceeded next door at [REDACTED] and continued to [REDACTED] south apt. [REDACTED] (offender) made it to [REDACTED] floor and was able to gain entry. R/O made it to 3<sup>rd</sup> floor and observed offender enter the residence. R/O in hot pursuit announce office and gained entry and observed [REDACTED] (offender) in hallway of the apartment with blood on his hands. R/O placed in custody, mirandized, and transported to 002 district for processing. Name checks clear. No investigative alerts. No Gipp No Trap. Weapon recovered Smith & Wesson .38 caliber blue steel revolver serial # [REDACTED] loaded with 4 live rounds. Offender refused medical attention. Inventory # [REDACTED] during the course of the search R/O observed in plain view 1 plastic baggie containing suspect cocaine in the rear room. Inventory # [REDACTED].

The report lists officers involved as Officer [REDACTED] [REDACTED], Officer [REDACTED], Officer [REDACTED] Sgt. [REDACTED], and Officer [REDACTED].<sup>33</sup>

A **Chicago Police Department Event Query**, Event # [REDACTED], details the messages between officers and the Office of Emergency Management and Communications (OEMC.) The query is listed as a person with a gun event, with the location noted as [REDACTED], on June 25, 2012 at 11:55:22 am. The query notes that a call went over the radio for a person with a gun at 11:55:22 am and a description of the suspect as having a white top, dark pants, being 5'8" and 165 lbs. in weight

<sup>32</sup> Attachment 6

<sup>33</sup> Attachment 8

being made at 11:56:38 am. The query notes that Beat [REDACTED] reported having one suspect in custody at 12:11:06 pm.<sup>34</sup>

A Chicago Police Department **Event Query**, Event # [REDACTED], details the messages between officers and OEMC. The query is listed as a person with a gun event, with the location noted as [REDACTED] on June 25, 2012 at 11:55:25 am. The query notes that a call went over the radio for a person with a gun at 11:55:25 am. The query notes that a call to watch the backdoor went over the radio at 12:09:15 pm and then a message of 3<sup>rd</sup> floor rear went over the radio at 12:09:21 pm. A message of offender in custody went over the radio at 12:10:37 pm.<sup>35</sup>

A Chicago Police Department **Event Query**, Event # [REDACTED], details a wireless call from Mr. [REDACTED] to OEMC. The query is listed as a person with a gun event, with the location noted as [REDACTED] located at [REDACTED], on June 25, 2012 at 12:52:57 pm. The query notes that at 12:53:16 pm Mr. [REDACTED] called from a wireless phone and reported that people were inside the park field house and a gun was mentioned.<sup>36</sup>

A Chicago Police Department **Event Query**, Event # [REDACTED], details a wireless call to OEMC. The query is listed as a person with a gun event, with the location noted as [REDACTED] located at [REDACTED], on June 25, 2012 at 12:53:51 pm. The query notes that at 12:54:54 pm an individual called from a wireless phone and reported that gangbangers ran into the fieldhouse at [REDACTED] and that a man was beaten with a chair.<sup>37</sup>

A Chicago Police Department **Event Query**, Event # [REDACTED], details a wireless call from a caller named [REDACTED] to OEMC. The query is listed as a person with a gun event, with the location noted as [REDACTED] on June 25, 2012 at 12:55:25 pm. The query notes that at 12:55:32 pm [REDACTED] reported that gangbangers were fighting in the field house was she was told someone has a gun. The query also shows that [REDACTED] reported that kids were also at the field house.<sup>38</sup>

A Chicago Police Department **Event Query**, Event # [REDACTED], details a wireless call from [REDACTED] to OEMC. The query is listed as requiring a supervisor, with the location noted as [REDACTED] on June 25, 2012 at 1:41:32 pm. The query notes that at 3:53:22 pm [REDACTED] requested a police sergeant regarding officers kicking in her door without a search warrant.<sup>39</sup>

A Chicago Police Department **Crime Scene Processing Report**, report number [REDACTED], details the photographs taken by Evidence Technician [REDACTED] # [REDACTED] for log number [REDACTED]. The report lists the address of service as [REDACTED] Apt. [REDACTED] and the service was completed June 25, 2012, at 5:11 pm. The report lists that that a photograph of the exterior of the front door was taken, three photographs of the front door edge were taken, two photographs of the door jamb were taken, four photographs were of the front bedroom, three photographs of the rear

---

<sup>34</sup> Attachment 19

<sup>35</sup> Attachment 20

<sup>36</sup> Attachment 23

<sup>37</sup> Attachment 26

<sup>38</sup> Attachment 28

<sup>39</sup> Attachment 29

bedroom were taken, and two photographs were taken of the rear storm door. The report lists the victim as [REDACTED].<sup>40</sup>

A Preliminary Hearing Transcription for *People of the State of Illinois v. [REDACTED]* No. [REDACTED], documented Officer [REDACTED] sworn testimony relating to [REDACTED] arrest. The transcripts show that Officer [REDACTED] stated that he was on duty on June 25, 2012, at approximately noon, and he observed several males congregating at the location of [REDACTED]. The transcripts show that Officer [REDACTED] then stated he pursued [REDACTED] through the residence of [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and while he was pursuing him, [REDACTED] dropped a gun which was recovered by Officer [REDACTED]. The transcripts also show that Officer [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] was apprehended.<sup>41</sup>

An IPRA Memo from IPRA Supervising Investigator [REDACTED] to IPRA Investigator [REDACTED], dated June 22, 2014, documents that Supervisor [REDACTED] sought to bring the Rule 14 related allegations in part due to past allegations of misconduct against Officers [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] that were found to be not sustained, unfounded, or were closed for lack of a supporting affidavit. The Memo states, “[a]lthough all of the allegations are either not sustained, unfounded, or no affidavit, it does show a consistent pattern of behavior which causes various people from the community to make similar and unrelated allegations.” The Memo further states that [REDACTED] had a prior not sustained Rule 14 violation allegation and taken in light of the officers’ statements made to IPRA regarding Log # 1055049, Rule 14 violation allegations should be brought against both Officers [REDACTED] and Officer [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] and Officer [REDACTED] disciplinary history up to June 22, 2014 is attached to the Memo.<sup>42</sup>

## VI. ANALYSIS

### A. Legal Standard

For each Allegation COPA must make one of the following findings:

1. Sustained - where it is determined the allegation is supported by a preponderance of the evidence;
2. Not Sustained - where it is determined there is insufficient evidence to prove the allegations by a preponderance of the evidence;
3. Unfounded - where it is determined by clear and convincing evidence that an allegation is false or not factual; or
4. Exonerated - where it is determined by clear and convincing evidence that the conduct described in the allegation occurred, but it is lawful and proper.

A preponderance of evidence can be described as evidence indicating that it is **more likely than not** that the conduct reviewed violated Department policy. *See Avery v. State Farm Mutual*

<sup>40</sup> Attachment 34

<sup>41</sup> Attachment 120

<sup>42</sup> Attachment 144

*Automobile Insurance Co.*, 216 Ill. 2d 100, 191 (2005), (a proposition is proved by a preponderance of the evidence when it has found to be more probably true than not). If the evidence gathered in an investigation establishes that it is more likely that the conduct complied with Department policy than that it did not, even if by a narrow margin, then the preponderance of the evidence standard is met.

**Clear and convincing evidence** is a higher standard than a preponderance of the evidence but lower than the "beyond-a-reasonable doubt" standard required to convict a person of a criminal offense. See e.g., *People v. Coan*, 2016 IL App (2d) 151036 (2016). Clear and Convincing can be defined as a "degree of proof, which, considering all the evidence in the case, produces the firm and abiding belief that it is highly probable that the proposition . . . is true." *Id.* at ¶ 28.

## B. Legal Analysis

### Warrantless Entry

Generally, police officers must have a warrant to enter a private residence. *Payton v. New York*, 445 U.S. 573 (1980). However, "a suspect may not defeat an arrest which has been set in motion in a public place . . . by the expedient of escaping to a private place" (i.e., hot pursuit). *People v. Wear*, 229 Ill. 2d 545, 567 (2008) (quoting *United States v. Santana*, 427 U.S. 38, 43 (1976)). Exigent circumstances may also justify a warrantless entry into a home. *People v. Foskey*, 136 Ill. 2d 66, 75 (1990).

At issue in this case is whether Officers [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were in hot pursuit of [REDACTED] when they forcibly entered [REDACTED] or otherwise had exigent circumstances justifying warrantless entry into the unit.

There are material inconsistencies between the Officers' accounts of the incident and [REDACTED] account of the incident.

Officers [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] both stated they entered Unit [REDACTED] in hot pursuit of [REDACTED] who they observed commit a crime - unlawful possession of a firearm,<sup>43</sup> then flee. Officers [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] asserted they observed [REDACTED] drop a firearm while fleeing and jumping through a screen door. Officers [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] then allege [REDACTED] jumped through a glass window and continued to flee, eventually entering the building located at [REDACTED]. Officer [REDACTED] stated he followed a trail of blood in the hallway to the doorway of [REDACTED] and observed [REDACTED] enter [REDACTED] and slam the door. Officers [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] both assert they saw blood on the front door of unit [REDACTED] and subsequently forced entry into the unit [REDACTED]. Officers [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] both stated they did not know whether [REDACTED] possessed another weapon and noted they were concerned about the safety of any occupants inside the unit.

[REDACTED] admits officers chased him and that he climbed through a glass door during the incident but asserts he did not have a firearm in his possession and was not bleeding or otherwise injured. [REDACTED] admits he entered Unit [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] alleges he heard knocking on the door and then, approximately ten minutes later, officers forced entry into the unit.

---

<sup>43</sup> On the date of the incident, generally possession of the firearm would constitute probable cause for arrest for unlawful possession of a firearm. Subsequently, gun laws were modified in the State of Illinois.

████████ stated he re-entered █ after walking his dog, and heard knocking shortly thereafter, followed by the officers forcing entry approximately five minutes later.<sup>44</sup> █████ stated that █ was bleeding from both of his arms. Other witnesses did not provide specific accounts on the time period between █████ entry and the officers' entry into the unit.

The OEMC radio transmissions provide some objective evidence of the timeline. The first transmission references two people running with guns. Approximately three minutes later, an officer references two people jumping through a glass door. At 8:05: into the OEMC radio transmissions, Officer █████ says he is searching the basement. At 10:26 into the OEMC radio transmissions, an officer references observing blood on a doorknob of an apartment door and indicates the officers are trying to get into the unit. An officer announces █████ is in custody at 15:31 into the OEMC radio transmission, approximately 5 minutes later.

COPA finds that the evidence is inconsistent and insufficient to determine whether Officers █████ and █████ were in hot pursuit or otherwise had exigent circumstances justifying a warrantless entry into Unit █. Whether blood was present on the door of unit █ and the time period between █████ entry into unit █ and Officer █████ and █████ entry, are material to determining whether Officers █████ and █████ were in hot pursuit of █████. That information is also material to determining whether Officers █████ and █████ otherwise had exigent circumstances justifying warrantless entry into the unit. In light of the conflicting accounts,<sup>45</sup> the evidence is insufficient to determine whether blood was present and the time period between █████ entry into the unit and Officers █████ and █████ entry into the unit with a reasonable degree of certainty. Therefore, COPA finds that Allegations #1 and #2 against Officers █████ and █████ are Not Sustained.

#### Gun Pointing

In her statement to IPRA, █████ stated officers entered the apartment with their firearms "out on us." Furthermore, █████ stated to IPRA that officers entered the apartment with their guns drawn and pointed at them for a few seconds before the officers lowered their guns. █████ stated to IPRA that officers had their firearms drawn when they entered the apartment. Sgt. █████ who entered with Officer █████ and Officer █████ stated he did not remember if he and Officer █████ and Officer █████ had their weapons drawn when they entered the apartment, but he did not believe Officer █████ or Officer █████ pointed his gun at either █ or █.

Officer █████ stated to IPRA that he entered the apartment with his gun drawn but he did not point it at █ or █. Officer █████ also stated that Officer █████ did not point his gun at █ or █. In his statement to IPRA, Officer █████ stated he entered the apartment with his gun drawn because he was chasing a person with a gun, but neither he nor Officer █████ pointed their guns at █, █, or █. Officer █████ stated to IPRA that he did not see any officers with their guns drawn when he arrived at the apartment, but he did not know if they pointed their guns before he arrived. As there is no independent corroborating evidence as to whether Officer █████ and Officer █████ pointed their guns at █ and █, COPA finds that Allegation #3 for Officer █████ and Allegation #3 for Officer █████ are Not Sustained.

<sup>44</sup> Although not explicitly stated, COPA finds that a reasonable inference can be made that █ was already inside of Unit █ when █████ returned from walking his dog.

<sup>45</sup> COPA finds that no involved party or witness is sufficiently credible to such a degree that COPA may rely on the unsupported statement(s) of any such involved party or witness to the exclusion of any other(s) without adequate corroborating evidence. COPA further finds that the available evidence is insufficient to adequately corroborate any involved party or witness account of the incident to the exclusion of other such accounts.

**Verbal Abuse**

In their statements to IPRA, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] stated that Officer [REDACTED] made verbally abusive comments and threatened them with government action, as noted in Allegation #4, #5, and #6 for Officer [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] who was inside the apartment when the officers made entry and spoke to [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] denied ever hearing officers say verbally abusive comments to [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] and did not hear the officers threaten them with government action. Furthermore, Sgt. [REDACTED] Officer [REDACTED] Officer [REDACTED] Officer [REDACTED] and Officer [REDACTED] all officers on scene, denied ever making or hearing verbally abusive statements or threats made to [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. As there is no independent corroborating evidence as to whether Officer [REDACTED] made verbally abusive statements or threatened [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] with government action, COPA finds that Allegations #4, #5, and #6 for Officer [REDACTED] be Not Sustained.

**Rule 14 Violations**

COPA cannot proceed with the Rule 14 allegations against Officers [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. IPRA served Rule 14 allegations against Officers [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] in November 2015. The applicable collective bargaining agreement provides in relevant part that:

Any information of an adverse employment nature which may be contained in any unfounded, exonerated, or otherwise not sustained file, shall not be used against the Officer in any future proceeding. Information contained in files alleging excessive force or criminal conduct which are not sustained may be used in future disciplinary proceedings to determine credibility and notice.<sup>47</sup>

Upon review of the file, particularly the Memo described above, it appears that allegations of misconduct contained in Officers [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] disciplinary histories, including allegations of misconduct (i.e. information of an adverse employment nature) contained in not sustained and unfounded files, were considered and used against Officers [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] by IPRA in its determination to serve the instant Rule 14 allegations.<sup>48</sup> This effectively precludes COPA from evaluating the merits of the Rule 14 allegations. Therefore, COPA finds that Allegations #4 and #5 against Officer [REDACTED] and Allegations #7, #8, #9, #10 against Officer [REDACTED] are Not Sustained.

---

<sup>47</sup> See Agreement Between the Fraternal Order of Police Chicago Lodge No. 7 and the City of Chicago Section 8.4 (effective July 1, 2012). The previous version of the collective bargaining agreement also included the same language.

<sup>48</sup> See Att. 144 (IPRA memorandum). The Rule 14 allegations were formally served over a year after the date listed on the memorandum. However, COPA has not located any evidence that this memorandum was ever withdrawn or otherwise corrected prior to the dates on which Officers [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were formally served with the Rule 14 allegations. Therefore, at a minimum, there is insufficient evidence to demonstrate that Officer [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] disciplinary histories were not used against them.

## VII. CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis set forth above, COPA makes the following findings:

Allegation	Finding
	Not Sustained
1. It is alleged that on June 25, 2012, at approximately 12:00 AM at [REDACTED] Apt. No. [REDACTED], Officer [REDACTED] forced open the front door to [REDACTED] apartment.	Not Sustained
2. It is alleged that on June 25, 2012, at approximately 12:00 AM at [REDACTED] Apt. No. [REDACTED], Officer [REDACTED] entered [REDACTED] apartment without a warrant and/or permission.	Not Sustained
3. It is alleged that on June 25, 2012, at approximately 12:00 AM at [REDACTED] Apt. No. [REDACTED], Officer [REDACTED] pointed a gun at [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]	Not Sustained
4. It is alleged that on June 25, 2012, at approximately 12:00 AM at [REDACTED] Apt. No. [REDACTED], Officer [REDACTED] stated "Fuck this" to [REDACTED].	Not Sustained
5. It is alleged that on June 25, 2012, at approximately 12:00 AM at [REDACTED] Apt. No. [REDACTED], Officer [REDACTED] stated "Where the fuck is the gun? You all know the shit and Y'all gonna sit here and fucking lie" to [REDACTED].	Not Sustained
6. It is alleged that on June 25, 2012, at approximately 12:00 AM at [REDACTED] No. [REDACTED] Officer [REDACTED] threatened [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] with other government action when he told them they were on Section 8 and he was going to get their asses put out on the street and get them cut off Public Aid.	Not Sustained
7. It is alleged that on 25 June 2012 at approximately 12:00 AM at [REDACTED] Apt. No. [REDACTED], Officer [REDACTED] wrote/generated a false Arrest report and Original Case Incident Report under RD # [REDACTED], when he falsely documented the circumstances surrounding the arrest of [REDACTED] in that he stated, R/O made it to the 3 <sup>rd</sup> floor and observed offender ([REDACTED]) enter the residence (apartment # [REDACTED])	Not Sustained
8. It is alleged that on 05 September 2013 at approximately 12:11 PM at 1615 W. Chicago Ave., Chicago, IL, Officer [REDACTED] made false	Not Sustained

statements during his interview with the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) in relation to the circumstances surrounding [REDACTED] [REDACTED] arrest on 25 June 2012 in that he stated he saw [REDACTED] enter the [REDACTED] floor apartment, # [REDACTED].

9. It is alleged that on 05 September 2013 at approximately 12:11 PM at 1615 W. Chicago Ave., Chicago, IL, Officer [REDACTED] made false statements during his interview with the IPRA in relation to the circumstances surrounding [REDACTED] arrest on 25 June 2012 in that he stated words to the effect that he saw blood all over the door and it was smeared all over the place and it's all over the middle side of the door, hand marks and everything.	Not Sustained
10. It is alleged that on 05 September 2013 at approximately 12:11 PM at 1615 W. Chicago Ave., Chicago, IL, Officer [REDACTED] made false statements during his interview with the IPRA in relation to the circumstances surrounding [REDACTED] arrest on 25 June 2012 in that he stated he and other officers did not conduct a search of the building for [REDACTED].	Not Sustained

Allegation	Finding
[REDACTED]	
1. It is alleged that on June 25, 2012, at approximately 12:00 AM at [REDACTED], Apt. No. [REDACTED], Officer [REDACTED] forced open the front door to [REDACTED] apartment.	Not Sustained
2. It is alleged that on June 25, 2012, at approximately 12:00 AM at [REDACTED], Apt. No. [REDACTED], Officer [REDACTED] entered Ms. [REDACTED] apartment without a warrant and/or permission.	Not Sustained
3. It is alleged that on June 25, 2012, at approximately 12:00 AM at [REDACTED], Apt. No. [REDACTED], Officer [REDACTED] pointed a gun at [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]	Not Sustained
4. It is alleged that on 06 September 2013 at approximately 2:11 PM at 1615 W. Chicago Ave., Chicago, IL, Officer [REDACTED] made false statements during his interview with IPRA in relation to the circumstances surrounding [REDACTED] arrest on 25 June 2012 in that he stated he saw [REDACTED] open the door to the [REDACTED] floor apartment, # [REDACTED] and enter the [REDACTED] floor apartment, # [REDACTED].	Not Sustained
5. It is alleged that on 06 September 2013 at approximately 2:11 PM at 1615 W. Chicago Ave., Chicago, IL, Officer [REDACTED] made false	Not Sustained

statements during his interview with IPRA in relation to the circumstances surrounding [REDACTED] arrest on 25 June 2012 in that he stated [REDACTED] slammed the # [REDACTED] apartment door on you and other officers after Mr. [REDACTED] entered the apartment.

Approved:

*[Signature]*  
Deputy Chief Administrator

5-7-19

Date

Appendix A

## Assigned Investigative Staff

---

<b>Squad #:</b>	[REDACTED]
<b>Investigator:</b>	[REDACTED]
<b>Supervising Investigator:</b>	[REDACTED]
<b>Deputy Chief Administrator:</b>	[REDACTED]